

EVENT REPORT

International Mediation Singapore 2020

OCTOBER / NOVEMBER 2020



Main
Organiser



Co-
Organisers



peacemakers

From 24th October to 12th November 2020,
425 attendees from
30 different nationalities,

mediated, 'meme'-ed and networked over weeks of activities that formed this year's edition of the **International Mediation Singapore** (IMSG), a mediation competition that celebrates and tests mediation advocacy and mediation skills.

IMSG was organised by the **Singapore International Mediation Institute** (SIMI) with co-organisers **Peacemakers Consulting Services** and the **National University of Singapore Faculty of Law's Collaborative Dispute Resolution Club** (CDRC).

Registrations for the second edition of IMSG had opened in July 2020, attracting interest from tertiary students and mediation professionals worldwide.

In line with the extraordinary events that have graced 2020, IMSG also achieved significant milestones:

- Being the biggest online competition in the international mediation competition circuit;
- Reimagined format that provided unique opportunities for attendees to form meaningful connections through a curated list of Team Engagement Activities;
- The world's first multi-party mediation session in a mediation competition;

- The only mediation competition to utilise a custom-built set of 'Dashboards' powered by Google Sheets (as found at **Annex A**), to manage communications and judging, allowing teams to receive timely written feedback from judges; and
- A customised Competition Report for every team, featuring key performance and event statistics as well as a compilation of their feedback from all their judges. A sample of the Competition statistics that a team receives can be found at **Annex B**.

The Opening Ceremony was held on 24th October 2020, featuring the IMSG Co-Directors – Mr. Marcus Lim, Ms. Jessica Low, and Ms. Levin Lin –

as hosts to welcome all attendees to IMSG 2020 via a live-stream event.


The keynote speaker for the Opening Ceremony was Ms. Josephine Hadikusomo, a member of SIMI's Board of Directors. Ms. Hadikusomo spoke about the duality of effects on the running of an international competition brought about by the COVID-19 pandemic – the technological challenges that have resulted; and at the same time the opportunity to reach out to more participants, partners, judges, and


people who otherwise would not have been able to travel to Singapore for an in-person event. She also encouraged the audience to remember that crises are opportunities in disguise, especially so for those who are passionate about facilitating conflict resolution.

The Opening Ceremony was immediately followed by the first of a series of Team Engagement Activities. These activities were designed specifically to maximise attendees' experience at IMSG 2020

"The team engagement activities that IMSG has brought to us really helped us to connect with other people around the globe. I've made new friends, followed by the wonderful experience itself. Other than that, I love how I gained so many insights and perspectives in the fields of mediation (and negotiation). I believe that it will be useful in my future progress. Additionally, I also enjoyed making memes for the team engagement activities. You don't see that everyday in a competition, do you?"

Ms. Rafa Raasyidah, a member of Team Gadjah Mada





in a manner that would be unique from role-playing and competing. For instance, attendees could try their hand at inventing Mediation Memes, learn more about Singapore (and each other) during IMSG ‘Kopitiam’ (Singapore vernacular for a small cafe), and take in the ‘sights’ of a Virtual Tour of Singapore.

Alongside the Team Engagement Activities, attendees were also invited to attend a series of pre-competition training and networking events organised by the IMSG Institutional Partners and Sponsors:

- “Fireside chat with an IMSG judge” by Sage Mediation;
- “Mediation Skills or Mediation Advocacy” by Edwards Mediation Academy;
- Live Q&A session with Mr. Ban Jiun Ean, the Executive Director of the Singapore Mediation Centre;

- Panel discussion and quiz held jointly by the Heads of Singapore International Dispute Resolution Academy (Prof. Nadja Alexander, Director) and Singapore International Mediation Centre (Mr. Chuan Wee Meng, CEO, and Mr. Teh Joo Lin, Deputy CEO); and
- “Getting to know me in the age of Twitter” by Edwards Mediation Academy (Mr. Bruce Edwards and Ms. Susan Edwards, co-founders).

The virtual book launch of Contemporary Issues in Mediation (CIIM), Volume 5 was also held during this time. CIIM is a publication of top entries of the Singapore International Mediation Institute (SIMI) annual Mediation Essay Competition. Volume 5 featured entries submitted in 2019.



As for the actual competition, the IMSG rounds were held over two weekends; (i) 30 October to 1 November, and (ii) 7 November to 8 November 2020. Approximately 220 participants and coaches comprising 48 teams competed this year. Teams put their collaborative dispute resolution skills to the test as mediators and mediation advocates over five gruelling competition rounds.

The teams were supported by approximately 76 volunteers, as Room Session Managers (RSMs), and 80 SIMI Mediators, as judges.

Altogether, we were delighted to host a truly international group of participants, coaches, judges and RSMs from all over the world - Australia, Bangladesh, Brazil, Canada, China, France, Germany, Greece, Hong Kong, India, Indonesia, Japan, Lebanon, Lithuania, Luxembourg, Malaysia, Maldives, Nigeria, Norway, Pakistan, Portugal, Russia, Saudi Arabia, Singapore, South Africa, Sri Lanka, Ukraine, United Kingdom, United States of America, and Vietnam.


A breakdown of the IMSG Event Statistics can be found at [Annex C](#).

“Such a joy to participate in the highly innovative IMSG competition and to meet up at the virtual socials with the excellent SIMI team, fellow judges and the ever so enthusiastic & talented young competitors!”

Mr. Jonathan Lux, founder of Lux Mediation and SIMI Certified Mediator

ABOUT THE IMSG COMPETITION FORMAT

The IMSG Competition Format is unique in the entire world, being the only competition with the following features:



Each round lasts for **90 minutes** with two teams competing as Mediation Advocates and one team competing as a Mediator.

As mentioned earlier, IMSG 2020 also introduced a **multi-party mediation session** in Round 5. This meant that there were three parties (instead of just two) to the mediation.

“The multi-party mediation was extremely challenging for us, as there were so many issues that needed to be discussed within a very limited time frame. With three parties competing to present their client’s interests, there were times where we got entangled over a particular issue and struggled to move forward. Nonetheless, we managed to make some substantial progress by the end of the session, and it was overall a fruitful learning experience for us!”

Mr. See Yongsong, a member of Team Ongka

You can check out the results of the competition at **[Annex D](#)**, and watch the closing ceremony **[here](#)**.

APPRECIATION AND CONCLUDING WORDS

The IMSG 2020 Organising Committee would like to express their heartfelt gratitude to everyone who helped make IMSG 2020 a success:

First, to the directors of SIMI's board and especially to the Chair, Professor Joel Lee Tye Beng, for their ever-present support and enduring faith in our work. IMSG would not have been possible without their support.

To the executive team at SIMI, Mr. Marcus Lim and Ms. Jessica Low, for their leadership and expertise.

To the co-organisers, the NUS Collaborative Dispute Resolution Club (CDRC), and Peacemakers Consulting Services for being great partners in turning a dream into reality.

To our corporate and individual sponsors: The 1872 Clipper Tea Co, Edwards Mediation Academy, Maxwell Chambers, MODRON, Sage Mediation, ChangAroth InterNational Consultancy, Davinder Singh Chambers, National University of Singapore (Faculty of Law), Singapore University of Social Sciences (Faculty of Law), Asia Conflict Resolution Institute, Farallon Law Corporation, Wægger Negotiation Institute; Ms. Vivienne Sandhu, Mr. Jaya Prakash, and Professor Joel Lee. Your contributions were invaluable to the event's success, thank you!


To Maxwell Chambers: thank you for the generosity and for providing a space for the the IMSG Organising Committee core team to use your facilities as our base of operations throughout the duration of the competition. Your support made a huge difference to the core team's ability to turnover the event 'hiccups' in record time.

To The Art Faculty: thank you for designing the beautiful certificates and cards that have been distributed to the IMSG attendees!

To our honorary auditors, Ernst & Young, thank you for auditing the final results and being part of our vision to raise the bar in the international mediation competition circuit!

To our institutional partners: Singapore Management University (SMU) Singapore International Dispute Resolution Academy (SIDRA), Singapore Mediation Centre (SMC), Singapore International Mediation Centre (SIMC); and our knowledge partners: China Council for the Promotion of International Trade (CCPIT) Mediation Center, The European Law Students' Association (ELSA), Foreign Domestic Workers Association for Social Support and Training (FAST), Instituto de Certificação e Formação de Mediadores Lusófonos (ICFML), Institut Français de Certification des Médiateurs (IFCM), International Institute of Mediators (Singapore), International Mediation Institute (IMI), Intellectual Property Office of Singapore (IPOS), the Moot Court Bench (MCB), Mediation Training Institute (MTI) East Africa, Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (RICS), Singapore Construction Mediation Centre (SCMC), Sorbonne Assas International Law School - Asia Branch, Shanghai International Economic and Trade Arbitration Commission (SHIAC), SMU Mediation and Negotiation Club, SMU School of Law, The Peacekeeping and Conflict Resolution Team (The PACT), IMI Young Mediators Initiative (YMI), World Intellectual Property Organisation Arbitration and Dispute Resolution Centre (WIPO ADR). Thank you for your support!

With love,
IMSG 2020
ORGANISING COMMITTEE
imsg@simi.org.sg



JUDGE DASHBOARD FOR MEDIATION ADVOCATES

ROUND 1		TIME START	TIME END	NOTES
ARE YOU JUDGING THIS ROUND?		NO		
MEDIATION ADVOCATE TEAMS				
REQUESTING PARTY		CRITERIA	RESPONDING PARTY	
TEAM ID	TEAM NAME	Select only one team per criteria using the checkboxes.	TEAM ID	TEAM NAME
<u>OPENING STATEMENT</u>				
☐		MA.Q1 Which advocacy team gave a better presentation of the factual events leading up to the dispute?	☐	
☐		MA.Q2 Which advocacy team appeared to have a better understanding of the legal and commercial context of the problem?	☐	
☐		MA.Q3 Which advocacy team opened the session in a manner that was more likely to achieve a better outcome for their client?	☐	
<u>ADVANCING INTERESTS</u>				
☐		MA.Q4 Which advocacy team was better at communicating their interests?	☐	
☐		MA.Q5 Which advocacy team was better at listening to the interests of their counterparts?	☐	
☐		MA.Q6 Which advocacy team showed they were able to advance their client's interests throughout the mediation session?	☐	
<u>OPTION GENERATION</u>				
☐		MA.Q7 Which advocacy team was better at leading discussion of options in a collaborative manner?	☐	
☐		MA.Q8 Which advocacy team gave more creative options?	☐	
☐		MA.Q9 Which advocacy team was able to put out options that were closer to their client's interests?	☐	
<u>TEAMWORK</u>				
☐		MA.Q10 Which advocacy team showed they had a better division of work between them?	☐	
☐		MA.Q11 Which advocacy team was better at effectively communicating between them and supporting one another?	☐	
☐		MA.Q12 Which advocacy team displayed a better ability to work together?	☐	
0		SUB-TOTAL	0	
		PENALTIES <i>Leave empty if none.</i>		
0		TOTAL SCORE	0	
☐		MA.Q13 Overall, which advocacy team would you select as having achieved a better outcome for their client. This team will be given a "Win" for this round.	☐	
FEEDBACK FOR MEDIATION ADVOCATE TEAMS				
Type your feedback for each of the Mediation Advocate teams in the boxes below. The teams will receive the feedback directly to their dashboard.				
REQUESTING PARTY				
TEAM ID				
TEAM NAME				
SHOW FEEDBACK TO TEAM?		NO		
RESPONDING PARTY				
TEAM ID				
TEAM NAME				
SHOW FEEDBACK TO TEAM?		NO		

JUDGE DASHBOARD FOR MEDIATORS

MEDIATOR TEAM		NOTES
TEAM ID		
TEAM NAME		
CRITERIA		
Select only one score per criteria using the dropdown menu.		
MD.Q1 How effective was the mediator's opening statement in establishing the right tone for the dispute?	Well Above Expectations (4) Above Expectations (3) Within Expectations (2) Below Expectations (1)	
MD.Q2 Did the mediator display a good understanding of the issues raised by the parties?		
MD.Q3 How effective was the mediator in conveying their neutrality to the parties?		
MD.Q4 Did the mediator display sensitivities to cultural differences between parties?		
MD.Q5 How effective was the mediator in controlling the overall process for the mediation?		
MD.Q6 Was the mediator successful in building rapport with both parties?		
MD.Q7 How effective was the mediator's use of private sessions?		
MD.Q8 How good was the mediator's use of communication techniques throughout?		
MD.Q9 How effective was the mediator in helping the parties move past impasse?		
MD.Q10 Did the mediator display an understanding of the mediation process?		
TOTAL SCORE	0	
FEEDBACK FOR MEDIATOR TEAMS		
Type your feedback for the Mediator team in the boxes below. The team will receive the feedback directly to their dashboard.		
TEAM ID		
TEAM NAME		
SHOW FEEDBACK TO TEAM?		
NO		

TEAM DASHBOARD MAIN

SCROLL RIGHT TO SEE MORE >>>>>

INFORMATION ABOUT YOUR TEAM'S PAIRING FOR EACH ROUND WILL BE AUTOMATICALLY UPDATED BELOW.

ROUND PAIRING INFORMATION - MEDIATION ADVOCATES

ROUND	ROOM ID	YOUR ROLE	YOUR COUNTER-PARTY'S TEAM ID	YOUR COUNTER-PARTY'S TEAM NAME			
1	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC			
2	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC			
3	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC			
4	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC			
	ROOM ID	YOUR ROLE	YOUR COUNTER-PARTY'S TEAM ID	YOUR COUNTER-PARTY'S TEAM NAME	YOUR 2ND COUNTER-PARTY'S TEAM ID (R05 ONLY)	YOUR 2ND COUNTER-PARTY'S TEAM NAME (R05 ONLY)	
5	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC	

ROUND PAIRING INFORMATION - MEDIATOR

ROUND	ROOM ID	REQUESTING TEAM ID	REQUESTING TEAM NAME	RESPONDING TEAM ID	RESPONDING TEAM NAME		
1	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC		
2	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC		
3	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC		
4	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC		
	ROOM ID	R05 PARTY A TEAM ID	R05 PARTY A TEAM NAME	R05 PARTY B TEAM ID	R05 PARTY B TEAM NAME	R05 PARTY C TEAM ID	R05 PARTY C TEAM NAME
5	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC	TBC

TEAM DASHBOARD MAIN

TEAM ID	IMSGXX		TEAM NAME	#N/A		
!!! IMPORTANT !!! ASSIGN YOUR MEDIATION ADVOCATES AND MEDIATORS AT LEAST 12 HOURS BEFORE THE START OF THE ROUND. NON-COMPETING TEAM MEMBERS, AND COACHES, MUST ALSO BE ASSIGNED AS OBSERVERS FOR THAT ROUND. !!! IMPORTANT !!!						
TEAM ROLE ASSIGNMENT FOR MEDIATION ADVOCATES						
ROUND	MEDIATION ADVOCATE 1	MEDIATION ADVOCATE 2	OBSERVER FOR MEDIATION ADVOCATE ROOM	OBSERVER FOR MEDIATION ADVOCATE ROOM	OBSERVER FOR MEDIATION ADVOCATE ROOM	OBSERVER FOR MEDIATION ADVOCATE ROOM
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
MESSAGE FROM THE ORGANISING COMMITTEE						
TEAM ROLE ASSIGNMENT FOR MEDIATOR						
ROUND	MEDIATOR	OBSERVER FOR MEDIATOR ROOM	OBSERVER FOR MEDIATOR ROOM	OBSERVER FOR MEDIATOR ROOM	OBSERVER FOR MEDIATOR ROOM	OBSERVER FOR MEDIATOR ROOM
1						
2						
3						
4						
5						
SEND A MESSAGE TO THE ORGANISING COMMITTEE						
** TYPE IN THE BOX BELOW **						

TEAM DASHBOARD FOR MEDIATION ADVOCATES

IMSG 2020 MEDIATION ADVOCATE INFORMATION SHEET			
TM ID		TEAM NAME	
IMSGXX		#N/A	
IMSG 2020 MEDIATION ADVOCATE INFORMATION SHEET			
ROUND		1	
ASSIGNED ADVOCATES		ROLE	
		TBC	
MODRON LINK		NOT RELEASED	
JUDGE ID		NOT RELEASED	
JUDGE NAME		NOT RELEASED	
CONFIDENTIAL INSTRUCTIONS		NOT RELEASED	
DO NOT SHARE WITH YOUR TEAM'S MEDIATOR FOR THIS ROUND			
JUDGE'S FEEDBACK			

TEAM DASHBOARD FOR MEDIATORS

IMSG 2020 MEDIATOR INFORMATION SHEET			
TM ID	IMSGXX	TEAM NAME	#N/A
IMSG 2020 MEDIATOR INFORMATION SHEET			
	1	ROUND	
MODRON LINK	NOT RELEASED	ASSIGNED MEDIATOR	
JUDGE ID	#N/A	JUDGE NAME	#N/A
JUDGE'S FEEDBACK			

MEDIATION ADVOCACY STATISTICS

Statistics by Award Category

The highest, lowest and average number of wins within each category (Bronze, Silver, Gold).

Average Number of Wins (Overall): 2.33

Average Cumulative Score (Overall): 31.18

	Bronze		Silver		Gold	
Wins	Average:	1.32	Average:	2.77	Average:	3.62
	Lowest:	0.00	Lowest:	2.00	Lowest:	3.00
	Highest:	2.00	Highest:	3.00	Highest:	5.00
Cumulative Score	Average:	27.57	Average:	33.27	Average:	35.20
	Lowest:	18.10	Lowest:	29.60	Lowest:	27.40
	Highest:	35.30	Highest:	38.80	Highest:	43.40

Statistics by Role

The distribution of winning teams by role for each round.

	Requesting Party A (Round 5)		Responding Party B (Round 5)		Party C (Round 5)	
	Number of Wins	Win Percentage	Number of Wins	Win Percentage	Number of Wins	Win Percentage
Round 1	12	50.00%	12	50.00%		
Round 2	14	58.33%	10	41.67%		
Round 3	12	50.00%	12	50.00%		
Round 4	3	12.50%	21	87.50%		
Round 5	5	31.25%	5	31.25%	6	37.50%


Win-Criteria-Prevalence (WCP)

This graphic maps the prevalence (ie how often) a winning Mediation Advocacy team obtained a vote from a judge for a criteria, from Round 1 to 5.

Observing the patterns can help identify:

- What judges seemed to be more / less persuaded by in each Round.
- Formulate strategies in dealing with similar problems in the future.
- In conjunction with the teams' proficiencies, identify areas for improvement.

How to read:



MA.Q1			MA.Q2			MA.Q3			MA.Q4		
M	H	H	H	M	L	M	H	M	M	M	M
M	1 2 3 4 R 5	M	L	1 2 3 4 R 5	L	M	1 2 3 4 R 5	M	M	1 2 3 4 R 5	H
MA.Q5			MA.Q6			MA.Q7			MA.Q8		
M	M	L	L	H	H	M	M	M	L	L	M
H	1 2 3 4 R 5	M	L	1 2 3 4 R 5	H	H	1 2 3 4 R 5	M	H	1 2 3 4 R 5	L
MA.Q9			MA.Q10			MA.Q11			MA.Q12		
L	L	M	M	M	M	H	L	M	H	M	H
M	1 2 3 4 R 5	M	H	1 2 3 4 R 5	H	M	1 2 3 4 R 5	H	L	1 2 3 4 R 5	M

Areas of Proficiency

MA Q1 Presentation of factual events	MA Q2 Understanding of legal and commercial context	MA Q3 Opening in a manner more likely to achieve a better outcome for their client	MA Q4 Communicating one's interests	MA Q5 Listening to their counterpart's interests	MA Q6 Advancing client's interests throughout
MA Q7 Leading discussion of options in a collaborative manner	MA Q8 Giving more creative options	MA Q9 Putting out options closer to their client's interests	MA Q10 Display of division of work	MA Q11 Communicating and supporting each other	MA Q12 Overall ability to work as a team

MEDIATION STATISTICS

Statistics by Award Category

The highest, lowest and average total score for the first 4 rounds (4-Round Cumulative Scores) & all 5 rounds (5-Round Cumulative Score), within each category

Average 4-Round Cumulative Score (Overall): 50.31

Average 5-Round Cumulative Score (Overall): 88.88

	Bronze		Silver		Gold	
4-Round Cumulative Scores	Average:	42.77	Average:	52.54		
	Lowest:	32.00	Lowest:	49.00		
	Highest:	49.00	Highest:	58.00		
5-Round Cumulative Scores			Average:	80.67	Average:	90.77
			Lowest:	78.00	Lowest:	83.00
			Highest:	82.00	Highest:	102.00

Statistics by Round

The highest, lowest and average Cumulative Score for each Round.

Round 1		Round 2		Round 3		Round 4		Round 5	
Average:	25.83	Average:	23.21	Average:	26.63	Average:	24.96	Average:	28.81
Highest:	38.00	Highest:	36.00	Highest:	38.00	Highest:	37.00	Highest:	36.00
Lowest:	16.00	Lowest:	15.00	Lowest:	16.00	Lowest:	13.00	Lowest:	22.00


Average-Criteria-Score (ACS)

This graphic presents the average score for each Mediator Judging Criteria from Round 1 to 5.

Observing the patterns can help identify:

- Which areas did Mediators seem to perform well / not well across and within rounds.
- Whether some criteria seemed harder / easier to score on.
- In conjunction with the teams' performance, identify where your team did better /worse than the average.

How to read:




MD.Q1	MD.Q2	MD.Q3	MD.Q4
3.33 3.04 3.04 2.79 1 2 3 4 R 5 3.31	2.63 2.54 2.79 2.63 1 2 3 4 R 5 3.31	2.79 2.38 2.50 2.67 1 2 3 4 R 5 2.69	2.46 2.29 2.75 2.42 1 2 3 4 R 5 2.44
MD.Q5	MD.Q6	MD.Q7	MD.Q8
2.17 2.00 2.63 2.42 1 2 3 4 R 5 3.00	2.58 2.25 2.75 2.63 1 2 3 4 R 5 3.25	1.92 2.00 2.29 2.13 1 2 3 4 R 5 2.31	2.67 2.17 2.58 2.50 1 2 3 4 R 5 3.19
MD.Q9	MD.Q10		
2.21 2.08 2.33 2.08 1 2 3 4 R 5 2.56	2.83 2.46 2.96 2.67 1 2 3 4 R 5 3.00		

Areas of Proficiency

MD Q1 Effectiveness of setting tone in opening statement	MD Q2 Displaying understanding of issues raised by parties	MD Q3 Effectiveness in conveying neutrality to parties	MA Q4 Displaying sensitivity to cultural differences	MA Q5 Effectiveness in controlling the overall process
MA Q6 Success in building rapport with parties	MA Q7 Effective use of private sessions	MA Q8 Use of communication techniques	MA Q9 Effectiveness in helping parties overcome impasse	MA Q10 Display of understanding of mediation process

BREAKDOWN OF ATTENDEES BY ATTENDEE GROUP

425
attendees



SCHOOLS REPRESENTED



BRAZIL

FUNDAÇÃO GETÚLIO VARGAS (FGV) RIO LAW SCHOOL
PONTIFICAL CATHOLIC UNIVERSITY OF SÃO PAULO
UNIVERSITY OF SÃO PAULO



CHINA

WUHAN UNIVERSITY



GREECE

ARISTOTLE UNIVERSITY OF THESSALONIKI
NATIONAL AND KAPODISTRIAN UNIVERSITY OF ATHENS



HONG KONG

HANG SENG UNIVERSITY HONG KONG



INDIA

ARMY INSTITUTE OF LAW, MOHALI
CAMPUS LAW CENTRE, UNIVERSITY OF DELHI
DR. RAM MANOHAR LOHIYA NATIONAL LAW UNIVERSITY,
LUCKNOW
GOVERNMENT LAW COLLEGE, MUMBAI
MAHARASHTRA NATIONAL LAW UNIVERSITY MUMBAI
NALSAR UNIVERSITY OF LAW, HYDERABAD
NATIONAL LAW UNIVERSITY JODHPUR
O.P. JINDAL GLOBAL UNIVERSITY
SYMBIOSIS LAW SCHOOL, PUNE
V.M. SALGAOCAR COLLEGE OF LAW



INDONESIA

UNIVERSITAS GADJAH MADA
UNIVERSITAS INDONESIA



LITHUANIA

MYKOLAS ROMERIS UNIVERSITY LAW SCHOOL

SCHOOLS REPRESENTED



NIGERIA

OBAFEMI AWOLOWO UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF NIGERIA



NORWAY

UNIVERSITY OF OSLO



RUSSIA

VSEROSSIYSKAYA AKADEMIYA VNESHNEY TORGOVLI



SINGAPORE

NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE
SINGAPORE MANAGEMENT UNIVERSITY
SINGAPORE POLYTECHNIC
SINGAPORE UNIVERSITY OF SOCIAL SCIENCES
SORBONNE ASSAS INTERNATIONAL LAW SCHOOL - ASIA
TEMASEK POLYTECHNIC
YALE-NUS COLLEGE



SRI LANKA

ASIA PACIFIC INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY



UK

NEWCASTLE UNIVERSITY



USA

CHAPMAN UNIVERSITY
LOYOLA LAW SCHOOL
UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY



VIETNAM

HANOI LAW UNIVERSITY
UNIVERSITY OF ECONOMICS AND LAW - VNU-HCM

MEDIATION ADVOCACY MEDALLISTS

PLACING	TEAM NAME	PLACING	TEAM NAME
GOLD	Gorl Power	GOLD	Team SMU
GOLD	GYDS	GOLD	The Olive Branch
GOLD	I am SG	GOLD	To The Fore
GOLD	Jiggles	GOLD	Trailblazers
GOLD	Pearson Specter Litt	GOLD	Universitas Indonesia
GOLD	PUC-SP	GOLD	University of California, Berkeley
GOLD	Team Amigos		
SILVER	Fundação Getúlio Vargas (FGV) Rio Law School	SILVER	Team CLC
SILVER	Habeus Scoreplus	SILVER	Team SUSS
SILVER	Loyola Law School	SILVER	Team University of Oslo
SILVER	MI4	SILVER	The Hammies
SILVER	MRU	SILVER	University of São Paulo B
SILVER	Suspenders	SILVER	Wuhan University Mediation Works
SILVER	TEA		
BRONZE	Ad Infinitum and Beyond	BRONZE	Quad Squad
BRONZE	Aristotle University of Thessaloniki	BRONZE	RFTA Team
BRONZE	Aryavart	BRONZE	SAM
BRONZE	Bona Officia	BRONZE	Team Gadjah Mada
BRONZE	De Novo Digitalis	BRONZE	Team India
BRONZE	Goldilocks & the 3 Bears	BRONZE	The Elites
BRONZE	Hang Seng University of Hong Kong	BRONZE	The Mediation Bench
BRONZE	Hanoi Law University	BRONZE	UEL TEAM
BRONZE	Marauders	BRONZE	University of Nigeria
BRONZE	Newcastle University Law School (UK)	BRONZE	University of São Paulo Team A
BRONZE	Ongka	BRONZE	We CAN Do Mediation

ANNEX D COMPETITION RESULTS

MEDIATION MEDALLISTS

PLACING	TEAM NAME	PLACING	TEAM NAME
GOLD	Ad Infinitum and Beyond	GOLD	Team SMU
GOLD	Aristotle University of Thessaloniki	GOLD	Team SUSS
GOLD	Gorl Power	GOLD	Team University of Oslo
GOLD	Habeus Scoreplus	GOLD	To The Fore
GOLD	I am SG	GOLD	Universitas Indonesia
GOLD	Marauders	GOLD	University of California, Berkeley
GOLD	Pearson Specter Litt		
SILVER	Hanoi Law University	SILVER	Team Amigos
SILVER	Jiggles	SILVER	Team Gadjah Mada
SILVER	Loyola Law School	SILVER	Team India
SILVER	MRU	SILVER	The Hammies
SILVER	Newcastle University Law School (UK)	SILVER	UEL TEAM
SILVER	Ongka	SILVER	University of São Paulo Team A
SILVER	PUC-SP		
BRONZE	Aryavart	BRONZE	Suspenders
BRONZE	Bona Officia	BRONZE	TEA
BRONZE	De Novo Digitalis	BRONZE	Team CLC
BRONZE	Fundação Getúlio Vargas (FGV) Rio Law School	BRONZE	The Elites
BRONZE	Goldilocks & the 3 Bears	BRONZE	The Mediation Bench
BRONZE	GYDS	BRONZE	The Olive Branch
BRONZE	Hang Seng University of Hong Kong	BRONZE	Trailblazers
BRONZE	MI4	BRONZE	University of Nigeria
BRONZE	Quad Squad	BRONZE	University of São Paulo B
BRONZE	RFTA Team	BRONZE	We CAN Do Mediation
BRONZE	SAM	BRONZE	Wuhan University Mediation Works



peacemakers

